Т	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
JAN 2 9 2014	
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	) Case No.: CL 12-900-5J7)
Alleen Stacy Lope 2. Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. 3143(a)] ) ) )

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the \_\_\_\_\_\_ for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), The Court finds that:

A. (The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on ho but usmas; we under the manufacture to meeting, when I charges

## Case 2:12-cr-00900-SJO Document 53 Filed 01/29/14 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:146

1	
2	
3	
4	and/ør
5	B. ( The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6	clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7	a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8	released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9	on: tentine of charges
10	<u> </u>
11	
12	
13	
14	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15	the further revocation proceedings.
16	
17	Dated: 1/21/14
18	$\rho_{\perp}$
19	RALPH ZAREFEKY
20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	